



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/107,141	06/30/1998	KARL S. BEERS	016499-546	7598

21839 7590 01/30/2002

BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P  
POST OFFICE BOX 1404  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404

EXAMINER

ELDRED, JOHN W

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3644	

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Due.

4-30 -02

5-30 (4)

6-30 (42) Air Liquide

ESG/HDP

7-30 (42)

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER &  
MATHIS, L.L.P. RECEIVED

FEB - 6 2002

DOCKETED

Response due  
4/30/02

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/107,141	BEERS ET AL
	Examiner J. Woodrow Eldred	Art Unit 3644

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 December 2001.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-14, 16-25 and 27-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
 \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____  |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

Art Unit: 3644

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-3, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 22, 24, 25, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Edwards et al in view of Ginsburgh et al.

Edwards et al disclose a system and method for inerting an aircraft fuel tank which comprises contacting a with a first membrane module with compressed air to produce a first nitrogen-enriched air stream which is introduced into the fuel tank during periods of low demand for nitrogen-enriched air and contacting a second membrane module with compressed air to produce a second nitrogen-enriched air stream which is introduced into the fuel tank during periods of high demand for nitrogen-enriched air, and in which the first membrane module has a lower O<sub>2</sub> permeance and a higher O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> selectivity than the second membrane modules. See column 7, lines 30-44, which disclose that the permeator may comprise hollow fibers with different physical characteristics to produce different puritys level outputs. See especially column 10, line 61-column 12, line 18, and Figure 13 which disclose a system for inerting an aircraft fuel tank with two different membrane modules to produce two nitrogen-enriched air streams for use during different flight conditions. Edwards et al fail to show the nitrogen-enriched air stream introduced directly into the fuel. Ginsburgh et al teach that it is know to introduce inerting gas directly into the fuel in a fuel tank. See Figures 1 and 2. Motivation to combine is the clear advantage of more effecient mixing of the inerting gas and the fuel when the gas is introduced directly into the fuel. To employ the teachings of Ginsburgh et al on the fuel inherting system of

Art Unit: 3644

Edwards et al and have the inerting gas directly into the fuel is considered to have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

3. Claims 4, 5-10, 12, 15, 16, 18, 19-21, 23, 26-28, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edwards et al in view of Ginsburgh et al as applied above, and further in view of Dornheim.

Edwards et al disclose a system and method for inerting an aircraft fuel tank which comprises contacting a with a first membrane module with compressed air to produce a first nitrogen-enriched air stream which is introduced into the fuel tank during periods of low demand for nitrogen-enriched air and contacting a second membrane module with compressed air to produce a second nitrogen-enriched air stream which is introduced into the fuel tank during periods of high demand for nitrogen-enriched air, and in which the first membrane module has a lower O<sub>2</sub> permeance and a higher O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> selectivity than the second membrane modules. See especially column 10, line 61-column 12, line 18, and Figure 13. Edwards et al fail to disclose the specific parameters of flow rates or establishing conditions to liberate a portion of O<sub>2</sub> dissolved in the fuel. Dornheim teaches, see the third paragraph from the end, that in a fuel tank inerting system conditions are created in which "oxygen enrichment occurs from the dissolved air in the fuel." To substitute particular parameters and conditions in the fuel inerting system of Edwards et al in place of unspecified conditions are considered to have been a matter of design and engineering choice in order to achieve the desired performance of the system in a particular situation. To have the claimed flow rates and oxygen liberation is considered, without any indication of unexpected results, to have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Woodrow Eldred whose telephone number is (703) 306-4151.

Art Unit: 3644

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

*J. Woodrow Eldred*  
J. WOODROW ELDRED  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 220

# Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier)

6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

## INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

### 1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein. Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTO-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

### 2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

### Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.